

Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

NOTES.

A HEBREW EPITAPH FROM ULM.

In Ulm, where already in former times a number of ancient Hebrew tombstones of the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries were found, two new stones have come to light just outside the so-called "bride-door" of the famous "Münster." One of them seems especially interesting, and I am curious to learn the judgment of the readers of this Review on it. The stone is broken at one end, but it is otherwise in perfect preservation and runs thus—

הנה
הגל הזה ועדה
המצבה אשר
הוקמה לראש
מרת אוטילייא
העברית שנפטרה
בז בסיון שנת
ושמנה לפרט ל
ששי נוחה בגן עדן
א א א סלה

"This stone is the memorial placed at the head of the lady Ottilia the Hebrew woman, who died," &c.

There can be scarcely a doubt about the transliteration and translation. The woman, who was buried here, was called Ottilia the Hebrew woman. But Rabbi Dr. Treitel of Laupheim wrote in a statement sent to the Archaeological Society of Ulm that the name Ottilia never was borne by Jewesses of such ancient times; that the omission of the name of the father was very strange, and still more strange the addition of "Hebrew woman."

He came therefore to the conclusion that we had to do with the name of a Proselyte. In this case the tombstone, which must be from the end of the fourteenth century, would be truly remarkable. I therefore take the liberty of laying this question before the learned Editors and readers of this REVIEW.

EB. NESTLE.

Ulm, October, 1897.

NOTE ON DEUTERONOMY XXXII. 42.

The interpretation of Devish Guarterly Review may not unreasonably suggest a question as to the manner in which the same word is to be explained in Deut. xxxii. 42. I suppose the view most widely accepted at the present time is that which takes the word as denoting "long hair" in accordance with the sense of The in Num. vi. 5; Ezek. xliv. 20. Thus Prof. Driver in his recently published Commentary translates—

"I will make mine arrows drunk with blood, And my sword shall devour flesh; With the blood of the slain and of the captives, From the long-haired heads of the foe."

And he gives in a note:

"Long-haired heads] alluding either to the exuberant vigour and pride of Israel's wild assailants, or perhaps (W.R.S. in Black's 'Judges' in the Smaller Camb. Bible for Schools, p. 39) to their being as warriors consecrated to their sanguinary work, the unshorn locks being the mark of a vow."

On consideration, however, it may perhaps appear that this interpretation is open to serious objection. It is pretty generally admitted, and is not, indeed, to be denied, that the verse furnishes an example of alternating parallelism. The third line is to be taken as a continuation of the first, and similarly the fourth is to be connected with the second. Now that the third line is, as regards the first, epexegetic, giving the sense more fully and particularly, need occasion no difficulty when we take it as referring to the combatants slain in battle, and the subsequent slaughter of those who had been made captives. But when we connect the second and fourth lines the case is otherwise. "My sword shall devour flesh from the long-haired heads of the foe," presents a manifest incongruity, the head being a part of the body by no means abounding in flesh; and the mention of "long hair" does not diminish the difficulty. In "DIT TOTO"